

are providing something more than a majority vote of their General Assembly. I would like to see our constitution contain a similar provision.

THE PRESIDENT: Do you yield to a question by Delegate Case?

DELEGATE JOHNSON: Yes, sir.

THE PRESIDENT: Delegate Case.

DELEGATE CASE: Delegate Johnson, your amendment strikes out the words "by law". I was under the impression the way section 1.03 stands the law which, of course, would be passed in the usual way would be subject to the governor's approval or veto. It would be returned to the General Assembly and thereafter subject to the various provisions of the Constitution dealing with vetoed bills.

You have struck out the words "by law", and you inserted "by the affirmative vote of three-fifths of all the members of each House". It seems to me you are changing much more than you indicated you seek to change.

DELEGATE JOHNSON: The answer is no. The only reasons that the words "by law" were deleted was because the drafting department of this Constitutional Convention indicated to me that they did not think the words were absolutely necessary since in their opinion that was the only way that the General Assembly could act on this matter.

I made it clear that we intend it to be by law and subject to gubernatorial veto. The words can remain. The drafting department drafted it in that fashion, and if it will be clearer, then let us take the amendment with the words "by law" in it.

THE PRESIDENT: Delegate Case.

DELEGATE CASE: I think it would be helpful if you would indicate that the amendment would be further amended. Of course, the General Assembly could cause things to be done and could certainly cause the calling of a convention. As I said earlier, the action would not be subject to gubernatorial veto.

THE PRESIDENT: Do you desire to make such a modification, Delegate Johnson?

DELEGATE JOHNSON: Yes, I do. I would like to indicate that the words "by law" do not appear in section 10.02. I honestly do not believe that the General Assembly can act in any other way in this particular area other than by law, but if

it will clear up any misunderstanding, let us change the amendment so that the words "by law" are not deleted, and let Style and Drafting, when they take it up, decide whether the words should remain and whether or not that is consistent or inconsistent with section 10.02.

THE PRESIDENT: The Chair has suggested in order to accomplish that you might modify your amendment. On line 2 strike out the words "strike out" and insert the word "after" and in line 3 strike out the words "and in the same line" after the word and in line 4 strike out "may" and in line 6 after the comma insert the word "enacted". If this were done, the section as modified would read "The General Assembly by law enacted by the affirmative vote of three-fifths of all the members of each house" and so forth.

Is that what you desire?

DELEGATE JOHNSON: I so move.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any objection?

*(There was no response.)*

If not, the amendment is so modified.

Delegate Beatrice Miller.

DELEGATE B. MILLER: I would like to ask Delegate Johnson a question, if I may.

THE PRESIDENT: He has used all his time and spoken twice. Is there any objection to Delegate Johnson taking the floor the third time?

*(There was no response.)*

The Chair hears none. Delegate Johnson, do you take the floor to yield to a question?

DELEGATE JOHNSON: Yes, sir.

THE PRESIDENT: Delegate Miller.

DELEGATE B. MILLER: Do I understand you think the General Assembly can submit this question and they can do this by that majority vote?

DELEGATE JOHNSON: Yes, that was my understanding.

THE PRESIDENT: Delegate Miller.

DELEGATE B. MILLER: Could there be either a clear understanding that this would be added by Style and Drafting or you would add it to your amendment so that it would read "or by majority vote may submit the question"?